A COMPACT OF COMPACT O			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov	
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,762	12/31/2003	Keith A. Etling	038190/269125	9125
826 7590 11/24/2006			EXAMINER	
ALSTON & E	SIRD LLP	PICKARD, ALISON K		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			3673	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

Application No. Application No. 07ffice Action Summary ID749/782 ETLING, KEITH A. Examiner Attomit Alison K. Pickard 3673 3673 3673 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE AMLINO DATE OF THIS COMMUNCATION. • Hone and which is application where the provides of 37 CF1 138(b). In a cent, thoreer, may any starty setting Hild dark 32 (4) GMT/S mon main and where the analyside of this communication. • Haine brait where is a centemed panel for repry will, by table, cause the application is become ADAMDONED. GB U.S. C. § 130. • Haine brait where is a centemed panel for repry will, by table, cause the application is become ADAMDONED. GB U.S. C. § 130. • Haine brait where is application. • Joine brait where is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> isfare pending in the application. • Joine above claim(s) <u></u>		· · ·					
Office Action Summary Examiner Alson K. Pickard Art Unit The MALUNG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEROD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Breaked the provision of 37 CPR 1.130(a). In a sent, howwor, may a say be analy tain the provision of terms in periodian of the regima with the end of the provision of 37 CPR 1.130(a). In a sent, howwor, may a say be analy tain the provision of terms in periodian of the regima with the end of the provision of 37 CPR 1.130(a). In a sent, howwor, may a say be analy tain the provision of terms in a constraint of the nulling with a difference of the periodian of the communication. * Bit Destribution and the regima with the provision of the regima with the regima with the regima with the provision of the application. Status * Claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Alison K. Pickard 3013 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Mainteen address in anoma status yield of all gaps and gaps and all gaps and gaps and all	Office Action Commence						
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Education of the major acade under the position of 37 CR 113(8). In ne went, however, may a may be simply field if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staticity produ will apply add will reply SIX (9) MONTH (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, why reply monitor and the two maximum staticity produ will apply add will reply SIX (9) MONTH (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, why reply monitor and the specification of 37 CR 113(8). In no went, however, may a may be simply field at the two maximum staticity produe as the specification. Faller bray which the stor exceeded prod of requile labove, the owner the maximum date of the communication. why reply received by the Diffic atter the maximum date of the communication, even if timely likel, may reduce any status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Interaction of thim may be available under the provides at 37 CFR 1.130(a). In the dent, however, may a may be timely field - If the provide status in the status and the provide status in the status in the maining date of this communication. - Failure to reply will be status in the status interview data graph wall to gate XCB NUTHS from the maining date of this communication. - Failure to reply will be status in the status interview data and the status interview in the status of the communication. - Bild for the status in the status interview data and the status interview in the status interview interview data and the status interview inte							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Example of them may be available unde the providence of the communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils communication. # SX (#) MONTHS from the mailing also of fils commane fils fils also (#) fils also (#) fils als							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-37</u> is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-37</u> is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)[X] accepted or b)] objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 11) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Status		· · ·				
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 25-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 25-30</u> is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to the restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filled on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filled on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application way not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>and 25-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>and 25-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(g). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) a) All b) Some * c) Nome of:							
Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)∑ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6)∑ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected. 7)∑ Claim(s) <u>6 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
 4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-37</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>9 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Disposition of Claims						
 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 25-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
 6) ☐ Claim(s) <i><u>1-7 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.</i> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 28-30</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 31-36</u> is/are allowed.						
8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2006 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
 10)∑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)∑ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 							
2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 9) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
 ★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 							
Attachment(s) 1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bur	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			· · · · ·				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
U.S. Potent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

. . .

Application/Control Number: 10/749,762

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 3-6, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Warshaw.

Warshaw discloses an apparatus comprising a sealing member having an attachment portion 21/22 fixed by a rivet 46 to a surface, a seal portion comprising a deformable sponge segment 11 is connected tot eh attachment portion. The surfaces define an aperture that receives the deformable segment. A coating 16 is disposed over the two surfaces and sealing member. Portion 21 can be considered a release material. The apparatus allows for expansion and contraction of the joint. Therefore, in an expanded position, the deformable segment would be fully positioned within the aperture.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hazen (3,848,379).

Hazen discloses an apparatus comprising a sealing member having an attachment portion (either 24 or 14) fixed to a surface and a seal portion 25 that is a deformable segment positioned fully within a receiving aperture defined by at least on of the surfaces 46. A coating 48 is disposed on the sealing member and the two surfaces. The coating defines a splice coincident with a distal end of the sealing member (see line 22 in Fig. 4) such that the coating is continuous

Application/Control Number: 10/749,762 Art Unit: 3673

across the seal and at least one of the surfaces. If element 14 is considered the attachment portion, a rivet extends therethrough. If portion 24 is the attachment portion, then element 14 is an engagement member further defining the aperture and receives the deformable segment of the seal member (see line 43 in Fig. 4).

3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LaRoche (4,866,898).

LaRoche discloses an apparatus comprising a sealing member having an attachment portion 50, seal/deformable portion (either 42 or 40), aperture, 22, coating 26, and engagement member (40 if 42 is seal portion).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-14 and 31-36 are allowed.

5. Claims 8 and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9-20-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Warshaw has been maintained because when the joint expands the deformable segment 11 will expand and flatten such that it will sit fully within the aperture. The allowability of claims 2 and 26 have been withdrawn due to the discovery of Hazen, which has a spliced coating as required by the claims. Application/Control Number: 10/749,762 Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

AP